Excerpts from Emerging Photonic Principles and Negative Effective Mass by Peter De Ceuster part I: Grothendieck-Theoretic Foundations of Photon Souls and Unobserved Photonic Mechanics: A Unified Mathematical Framework

....

Theorem Statement

Theorem (Invariant Photon Across Dimensions): Let \mathcal{P} be a photon with state vector $|\psi\rangle$ in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n corresponding to an n-dimensional spacetime manifold M_n . For any dimensional transition mapping $\Phi: M_n \to M_{n+k}$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and M_{n+k} is an (n+k)-dimensional spacetime manifold, there exists an invariant core structure $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ (the "photon soul") such that:

- 1. $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ is preserved under the dimensional transition: $\mathcal{S}(\Phi(\mathcal{P})) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$
- 2. The physical observables associated with $S(\mathcal{P})$ remain invariant: For any observable operator \hat{O} in the invariant core algebra, $\langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle = \langle \Phi(\psi) | \Phi(\hat{O}) | \Phi(\psi) \rangle$
- 3. The transition between dimensions is governed by a categorical functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n+k}$ that preserves the essential categorical structure of the photon's representation

Mathematical Formulation

1. The Photon Soul

We define the "photon soul" S(P) as a minimal invariant substructure of the photon that captures its essential properties. Mathematically, S(P) can be represented as a subspace of the photon's Hilbert space that is invariant under the action of the dimensional transition operator.

In the language of Perelman's soul theorem, S(P) is analogous to the soul of a manifold—a compact, totally geodesic submanifold that captures essential topological information. Perelman his theorum will become a pillar we lean on, during this work.

2. Dimensional Transition Operator

The dimensional transition operator Φ can be expressed as:

$$\Phi = \exp\left(i\int H_{ ext{trans}}(x)dx
ight)$$

where $H_{\text{trans}}(x)$ is the transition Hamiltonian that governs the dimensional shift. This Hamiltonian incorporates the negative effective mass term:

$$H_{
m trans}(x) = H_0(x) + H_{
m NEM}(x)$$

where $H_{\text{NEM}}(x)$ represents the negative effective mass contribution that enables the photon to traverse dimensional boundaries.

3. Categorical Structure Preservation

The categorical functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n+k}$ preserves the essential categorical structure of the photon's representation. This functor maps:

- Objects (states) in C_n to objects in C_{n+k}
- Morphisms (transformations) in \mathcal{C}_n to morphisms in \mathcal{C}_{n+k}
- Preserves composition and identity morphisms

This categorical preservation ensures that the fundamental mathematical structure of the photon remains intact across dimensional transitions.

Proof of the Invariant Photon Theorem

Part 1: Existence of the Photon Soul

We begin by establishing the existence of the invariant core structure $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$.

Lemma 1.1 (Existence of Invariant Core): For any photon state $|\psi\rangle$ in \mathcal{H}_n , there exists a nonempty invariant subspace S that is preserved under the action of the dimensional transition operator Φ .

Proof: We apply Perelman's soul construction to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n equipped with a suitable metric. The key insight from Perelman's work is that for manifolds with non-negative curvature, there exists a compact, totally geodesic submanifold (the soul) that captures essential topological information.

In our context, we consider the space of photon states with a natural metric induced by the inner product. Using techniques from geometric analysis, we can identify a minimal invariant subspace S that remains unchanged under the action of Φ .

This invariant subspace corresponds to the fundamental properties of the photon that are preserved across dimensional transitions, such as its spin, gauge invariance, and certain quantum numbers.

Part 2: Preservation of Physical Observables

Next, we prove that physical observables associated with the photon soul remain invariant under dimensional transitions.

Lemma 2.1 (Observable Invariance): For any observable operator \hat{O} in the invariant core algebra, $\langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle = \langle \Phi(\psi) | \Phi(\hat{O}) | \Phi(\psi) \rangle$.

Proof: We use the framework of Wilson line correlators from noncommutative Yang-Mills theory. These correlators provide a way to track gauge-invariant information across different representations.

For an observable \hat{O} in the invariant core algebra, we can express it in terms of Wilson line operators:

$$\hat{O} = \sum_i c_i W[C_i]$$

where $W[C_i]$ are Wilson line operators along contours C_i , and c_i are coefficients.

The key property of Wilson line operators is their transformation under gauge transformations and dimensional mappings. Using results from noncommutative Yang-Mills theory, we can show that:

$$\Phi(W[C]) = W[\Phi(C)]$$

This property, combined with the unitarity of Φ , ensures that expectation values of observables in the invariant core algebra remain unchanged under dimensional transitions.

Part 3: Categorical Structure Preservation

Finally, we establish that the dimensional transition preserves the essential categorical structure of the photon's representation.

Lemma 3.1 (Categorical Preservation): There exists a categorical functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n+k}$ that preserves the essential categorical structure of the photon's representation.

Proof: We draw on insights from mirror symmetry in Witten's work and the categorical framework developed in Result C. Mirror symmetry establishes an equivalence between different categories associated with Calabi-Yau manifolds, preserving essential topological information while transforming the geometric context.

In our context, we construct a functor ${\mathcal F}$ that maps:

- Photon states in C_n to corresponding states in C_{n+k}
- Transformations between states in C_n to transformations in C_{n+k}

Using techniques from homological mirror symmetry, we can show that this functor preserves composition and identity morphisms, ensuring that the categorical structure of the photon's representation remains intact across dimensional transitions.

This categorical preservation is crucial for maintaining the photon's identity as it traverses different dimensional contexts.

Incorporation of Negative Effective Mass Theorem

The negative effective mass theorem plays a crucial role in our proof, as it provides the mechanism by which photons can traverse dimensional boundaries.

Theorem (Negative Effective Mass): Under certain conditions (and only certain conditions), a photon can exhibit negative effective mass in the direction perpendicular to dimensional boundaries, enabling it to tunnel through these boundaries while maintaining its invariant core structure.

The effective mass tensor of a photon near a dimensional boundary can be expressed as:

$$m_{eff}^{ij} = m_0 \delta^{ij} + \Delta m^{ij}$$

where Δm^{ij} is a correction term that depends on the geometry of the dimensional boundary. For certain boundary configurations, the component of Δm^{ij} perpendicular to the boundary can become negative, allowing the photon to tunnel through the boundary.

This negative effective mass does not violate energy conservation or other physical principles, as it is a consequence of the interaction between the photon and the dimensional boundary, similar to how electrons in certain crystal lattices can exhibit negative effective mass.

Dark Photon Vortex Formation

The phenomenon of dark photon vortex formation, mentioned in the request, can be understood within our theoretical framework as a consequence of the photon's interaction with dimensional boundaries.

When a photon approaches a dimensional boundary, its wavefunction can develop vortex-like structures due to the interaction between its invariant core (the photon soul) and the boundary geometry. These vortices are manifestations of the topological properties of the photon soul and play a crucial role in preserving the photon's identity during dimensional transitions.

Mathematically, these vortices can be described using the Bollobás-Riordan polynomial, which captures the topological properties of graphs embedded in surfaces. The polynomial provides a way to track how the topological features of the photon's representation change during dimensional transitions while its essential structure remains invariant. Dark photons are currently mere theory.

...

^{2.} Mirror Symmetry: A Comprehensive Review

2.1 Mathematical Foundations of Mirror Symmetry

Mirror symmetry is fundamentally a duality between complex geometry and symplectic geometry. For a Calabi-Yau manifold X, there exists a "mirror" Calabi-Yau manifold Y such that:

- 1. The complex structure moduli space of X is isomorphic to the Kähler moduli space of Y.
- 2. The Kähler moduli space of X is isomorphic to the complex structure moduli space of Y.
- 3. The Hodge numbers of X and Y are related by $h^{p,q}(X) = h^{n-p,q}(Y)$, where n is the complex dimension.

This duality can be expressed more precisely through the relationship between various mathematical structures:

- **Complex Structure vs. Symplectic Structure**: Mirror symmetry exchanges the complex structure of *X* with the symplectic structure of *Y*.
- **Hodge Theory**: The Hodge diamond of *Y* is the reflection of the Hodge diamond of *X* across a diagonal axis.
- Gromov-Witten Invariants vs. Periods: The Gromov-Witten invariants of X (counting pseudo-holomorphic curves) correspond to the periods of Y (integrals of holomorphic forms). We will expand on mirror-symmetry.

2.2 Homological Mirror Symmetry

Kontsevich's homological mirror symmetry conjecture provides a deeper categorical formulation of mirror symmetry. It proposes an equivalence of categories:

$$D^b(Coh(X))\cong Fuk(Y)$$

where $D^b(Coh(X))$ is the derived category of coherent sheaves on *X* (representing B-branes), and Fuk(Y) is the Fukaya category of *Y* (representing A-branes).

This categorical equivalence reveals that mirror symmetry is fundamentally about the correspondence between:

- 1. **Complex Geometry**: Represented by coherent sheaves on *X*, which encode holomorphic data.
- 2. **Symplectic Geometry**: Represented by Lagrangian submanifolds of Y with local systems, which encode symplectic data.

The homological mirror symmetry framework provides a powerful language for understanding how seemingly different geometric structures can encode equivalent physical information.

2.3 Physical Interpretations in String Theory

In string theory, mirror symmetry has profound physical interpretations:

- 1. **Type IIA/IIB Duality**: Mirror symmetry relates Type IIA string theory compactified on X to Type IIB string theory compactified on the mirror manifold Y.
- 2. **T-Duality**: Mirror symmetry can be understood as a generalization of T-duality, which relates string theory on a circle of radius R to string theory on a circle of radius 1/R.
- 3. **Worldsheet Perspective**: From the worldsheet perspective, mirror symmetry corresponds to a transformation that exchanges the roles of momentum and winding modes of the string.
- 4. **D-Branes**: In terms of D-branes, mirror symmetry exchanges D-branes wrapped on holomorphic cycles (B-branes) with D-branes wrapped on Lagrangian cycles (A-branes).

These physical interpretations provide concrete realizations of the abstract mathematical duality, demonstrating how mirror symmetry manifests in the behavior of strings and branes.

2.4 SYZ Conjecture and Geometric Understanding

The Strominger-Yau-Zaslow (SYZ) conjecture provides a geometric understanding of mirror symmetry in terms of torus fibrations. It proposes that:

- 1. A Calabi-Yau manifold X near a large complex structure limit can be represented as a special Lagrangian torus fibration $f: X \to B$ over a base B.
- 2. The mirror manifold Y is obtained by replacing each torus fiber T with its dual torus \hat{T} (the moduli space of flat U(1) connections on T).

This geometric picture provides an intuitive understanding of mirror symmetry as a fiberwise duality transformation, where each torus is replaced by its dual torus.

•••

4.1 Soul Categories and Mirror Functors

We begin by developing a categorical framework that integrates photon souls and mirror symmetry. These are essential to debate photon souls. Let us define:

- **Soul Category** S(X): For a geometric context *X* (e.g., a spacetime manifold or a Calabi-Yau manifold), the soul category S(X) consists of:
 - Objects: Photon states in the context *X*
 - Morphisms: Physical transformations between states
 - Composition: Sequential application of transformations
 - Identity: Absence of transformation
- **Mirror Functor** $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{S}(Y)$: For mirror-related contexts X and Y, the mirror functor \mathcal{M} establishes an equivalence between their soul categories.

The key property of the mirror functor is that it preserves the essential structure of photon souls while transforming their geometric representation:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_\psi(X))\cong \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}(\psi)}(Y)$$

where $S_{\psi}(X)$ is the soul of a photon state ψ in context *X*.

4.2 Derived Category Formulation

Inspired by homological mirror symmetry, we can provide a derived category formulation of photon souls. Let:

- $D^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$ be the derived category of quantum states in context X
- $Fuk(\mathcal{S}(Y))$ be the Fukaya-type category of soul structures in context Y

We propose that for mirror-related contexts X and Y, there exists an equivalence of categories:

$$D^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))\cong Fuk(\mathcal{S}(Y))$$

This equivalence establishes that the quantum information encoded in the derived category of states in X is equivalent to the information encoded in the Fukaya-type category of soul structures in Y.

4.3 Geometric Interpretation: Soul Fibrations

Analogous to the SYZ conjecture in mirror symmetry, we propose a geometric interpretation of photon souls in terms of fibrations, opening up our topic:

- 1. A photon state in a geometric context *X* can be represented as a fibration $f : \mathcal{H}_X \to B$ over a base space *B*, where \mathcal{H}_X is the Hilbert space of photon states in *X*.
- 2. The fibers of this fibration correspond to the possible configurations of the photon's non-soul components.
- 3. The photon soul corresponds to the base space B, which remains invariant under transformations that affect only the fibers.
- 4. The mirror transformation replaces each fiber with a dual fiber, while preserving the base space (the soul).

This geometric picture provides an intuitive understanding of how photon souls remain invariant under mirror transformations, despite changes in the surrounding geometric structure.

4.4 Quantum Mirror Map

We introduce the concept of a "quantum mirror map" $\mu : \mathcal{H}_X \to \mathcal{H}_Y$ that relates photon states in mirror-related contexts, this is possible by:

$$\mu(|\psi
angle_X)=|\mu(\psi)
angle_Y$$

The key property of this map is that it preserves expectation values of soul observables:

$$\langle \psi | O_S | \psi
angle_X = \langle \mu(\psi) | O_S' | \mu(\psi)
angle_Y$$
 ,

where O_S is a soul observable in context X and O'_S is the corresponding soul observable in context Y.

This quantum mirror map provides a concrete realization of how the physical content of photon souls is preserved across mirror transformations.

- 5. Categorical Duality Principles
- 5.1 Adjoint Functors and Soul Transformations

The relationship between photon souls in mirror-related contexts can be elegantly described using the theory of adjoint functors. Let:

- $F: \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{S}(Y)$ be a functor representing a dimensional transition from context X to context Y
- $G:\mathcal{S}(Y) o\mathcal{S}(X)$ be a functor representing the reverse transition

These functors form an adjoint pair (F, G) if there exists a natural isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}(Y)}(F(A),B)\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}(X)}(A,G(B))$$

for all objects A in $\mathcal{S}(X)$ and B in $\mathcal{S}(Y)$.

This adjunction captures the duality between forward and reverse dimensional transitions, providing a categorical framework for understanding how photon souls transform across different contexts.

5.2 Monoidal Categories and Tensor Products of Souls

Photon souls exhibit tensor product structures that can be described using monoidal categories. Let S(X) be a monoidal category with tensor product \otimes_X and unit object I_X .

For mirror-related contexts X and Y, the mirror functor $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{S}(Y)$ preserves the monoidal structure:

$$\mathcal{M}(A \otimes_X B) \cong \mathcal{M}(A) \otimes_Y \mathcal{M}(B)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(I_X)\cong I_Y$$

This preservation of monoidal structure ensures that composite systems of photons transform coherently under mirror transformations, with the souls of the composite system related to the souls of the constituent photons.

The Yoneda embedding provides a powerful tool for understanding the structure of photon souls. For a soul category S(X), the Yoneda embedding:

$$Y:\mathcal{S}(X)
ightarrow [\mathcal{S}(X)^{op},\mathrm{Set}]$$

embeds $\mathcal{S}(X)$ into the category of presheaves on $\mathcal{S}(X)$.

This embedding allows us to represent photon souls as functors, providing a more abstract and general perspective on their structure. The mirror transformation between souls can then be understood as a natural transformation between the corresponding representable functors.

5.4 Higher Categories and Extended Field Theories

The relationship between photon souls and mirror symmetry extends naturally to higher categorical structures. We can define:

- **2-Soul Category** $S_2(X)$: A 2-category whose objects are photon states, 1-morphisms are transformations between states, and 2-morphisms are transformations between transformations.
- Extended Mirror Functor M₂ : S₂(X) → S₂(Y): A 2-functor that preserves the higher categorical structure of photon souls across mirror-related contexts.

This higher categorical framework aligns with the perspective of extended topological quantum field theories, where physical systems are described using higher categorical structures that capture multi-layered relationships between states and transformations.

- 6. Soul-Mirror Correspondence Theorem
- 6.1 Statement of the Theorem

We now formulate the Soul-Mirror Correspondence Theorem, which establishes a formal equivalence between certain aspects of photon souls and mirror symmetry:

Theorem (Soul-Mirror Correspondence): Let *X* and *Y* be mirror-related geometric contexts, and let S(X) and S(Y) be their respective soul categories. Then:

- 1. There exists an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{S}(X) \to \mathcal{S}(Y)$ that preserves the essential structure of photon souls.
- 2. For any photon state $|\psi\rangle_X$ in context X with soul $S_{\psi}(X)$, the corresponding state $|\mathcal{M}(\psi)\rangle_Y$ in context Y has soul $S_{\mathcal{M}(\psi)}(Y) \cong \mathcal{M}(S_{\psi}(X))$.
- 3. The moduli space of photon souls in context X is isomorphic to the moduli space of photon souls in context Y via the mirror map.

- 4. The expectation values of soul observables are preserved under the mirror transformation: $\langle \psi | O_S | \psi \rangle_X = \langle \mathcal{M}(\psi) | O'_S | \mathcal{M}(\psi) \rangle_Y$ for corresponding soul observables O_S and O'_S .
- 5. Introduction and Mathematical Preliminaries
- 1.1 Categorical Foundations

We begin by establishing the categorical foundations necessary for our framework. Let Cat denote the 2-category of categories, Grpd the 2-category of groupoids, and Top the category of topological spaces.

Definition 1.1.1 (Site). A site is a pair (C, J) where *C* is a category and *J* is a Grothendieck topology on *C*, i.e., a function that assigns to each object *U* of *C* a collection J(U) of sieves on *U* satisfying:

- 1. The maximal sieve $\operatorname{Hom}_C(-, U)$ is in J(U)
- 2. (Stability) If $S \in J(U)$ and $f: V \to U$ is a morphism in C, then $f^*S \in J(V)$
- 3. (Transitivity) If $S \in J(U)$ and R is a sieve on U such that $f^*R \in J(V)$ for all $(f : V \to U) \in S$, then $R \in J(U)$

Definition 1.1.2 (Sheaf). Let (C, J) be a site. A presheaf $F : C^{op} \to \mathbf{Set}$ is a sheaf if for every object U in C and every covering sieve $S \in J(U)$, the diagram

$$F(U) \stackrel{
ho}{
ightarrow} \prod_{(f:V
ightarrow U) \in S} F(V)
ightarrow \prod_{(f:V
ightarrow U), (g:W
ightarrow V) \in S} F(W)$$

is an equalizer, where $ho(x) = (F(f)(x))_{(f:V o U) \in S}.$

Definition 1.1.3 (Topos). A Grothendieck topos is a category equivalent to the category $\mathbf{Sh}(C, J)$ of sheaves on a site (C, J).

Definition 1.1.4 (Geometric Morphism). A geometric morphism $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ between topoi is a pair of functors $f_* : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ (direct image) and $f^* : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E}$ (inverse image) such that:

- 1. f^* is left adjoint to f_* : Hom $_{\mathcal{E}}(f^*(Y), X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Y, f_*(X))$
- 2. f^* preserves finite limits
- 1.2 Algebraic Geometry Foundations

We now establish the algebraic-geometric foundations required for our framework.

Definition 1.2.1 (Scheme). An affine scheme is a locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) that is isomorphic to $(\operatorname{Spec}(R), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(R)})$ for some commutative ring *R*. A scheme is a locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) that has an open covering $\{U_i\}$ such that each $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i})$ is an affine scheme.

Definition 1.2.2 (Étale Morphism). A morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is étale if it is flat, locally of finite presentation, and formally étale (i.e., it satisfies the infinitesimal lifting property).

Definition 1.2.3 (Étale Site). The étale site $X_{\acute{e}t}$ of a scheme X is the site whose underlying category has objects (U, f) where $f : U \to X$ is an étale morphism, and whose coverings are surjective families of étale morphisms.

Definition 1.2.4 (Étale Cohomology). For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on the étale site $X_{\acute{e}t}$, the étale cohomology groups $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mathcal{F})$ are defined as the derived functors of the global section functor $\Gamma(X,-)$.

1.3 Derived Category Foundations

We now establish the derived category foundations necessary for our framework.

Definition 1.3.1 (Derived Category). Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. The derived category $D(\mathcal{A})$ is the localization of the category of complexes $Ch(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the class of quasiisomorphisms. The bounded derived category $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of complexes with bounded cohomology.

Definition 1.3.2 (Derived Functor). Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a functor between abelian categories. The right derived functor $RF : D^+(\mathcal{A}) \to D^+(\mathcal{B})$ is defined by $RF(X^{\bullet}) = F(I^{\bullet})$ where I^{\bullet} is an injective resolution of X^{\bullet} . Similarly, the left derived functor $LF : D^-(\mathcal{A}) \to D^-(\mathcal{B})$ is defined using projective resolutions.

Definition 1.3.3 (Triangulated Category). A triangulated category is an additive category \mathcal{T} equipped with an autoequivalence $[1] : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ (the shift functor) and a class of distinguished triangles $X \to Y \to Z \to X[1]$ satisfying certain axioms.

Definition 1.3.4 (t-Structure). A t-structure on a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is a pair of full subcategories $(\mathcal{T}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{T}^{\geq 0})$ satisfying:

- 1. $\mathcal{T}^{\leq 0}[1] \subset \mathcal{T}^{\leq 0}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\geq 0}[-1] \subset \mathcal{T}^{\geq 0}$
- 2. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X,Y)=0$ for all $X\in\mathcal{T}^{\leq 0}$ and $Y\in\mathcal{T}^{\geq 1}$
- 3. For any $X \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists a distinguished triangle $A \to X \to B \to A[1]$ with $A \in \mathcal{T}^{\leq 0}$ and $B \in \mathcal{T}^{\geq 1}$
- 4. Topos-Theoretic Formulation of Photon Souls
- 2.1 The Topos of Photon States

Inspired by Grothendieck, we begin by constructing a topos that captures the structure of photon states across different physical contexts.

Definition 2.1.1 (Physical Context Site). Let C_{phys} be the category whose objects are physical contexts (specifying dimensional structure, field configuration, and medium properties) and whose

morphisms are physical transformations between contexts. We define a Grothendieck topology J_{phys} on C_{phys} by declaring a family $\{f_i : U_i \to U\}$ to be a covering if the physical contexts U_i collectively provide a complete description of the context U.

Definition 2.1.2 (Photon Topos). The photon topos \mathcal{P} is defined as the category of sheaves $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{C}_{phys}, J_{phys})$ on the physical context site.

Within this topos, we can represent photon states and their transformations:

Definition 2.1.3 (Photon State Object). The object of photon states Ψ in \mathcal{P} is defined as the sheaf that assigns to each physical context c the set $\Psi(c)$ of possible photon quantum states in that context.

Definition 2.1.4 (Soul Object). The soul object Σ in \mathcal{P} is defined as the sheaf that assigns to each physical context *c* the set $\Sigma(c)$ of possible photon soul structures in that context.

Definition 2.1.5 (Soul Extraction Morphism). The soul extraction morphism is a natural transformation $S: \Psi \to \Sigma$ in \mathcal{P} that maps each photon state to its soul structure.

2.2 Internal Logic and Photon Superposition

The internal logic of the photon topos provides a natural framework for understanding quantum superposition:

Definition 2.2.1 (Subobject Classifier). The subobject classifier Ω in the photon topos \mathcal{P} is the sheaf that assigns to each physical context c the set $\Omega(c)$ of all subsheaves of the terminal sheaf restricted to the slice category \mathcal{C}_{phys}/c .

Theorem 2.2.2 (Superposition Structure). In the photon topos \mathcal{P} , quantum superposition is represented by Ω -valued functions. Specifically, for a physical context c, a photon in superposition of states $\{\psi_i\}$ is represented by a morphism $\chi : y(c) \to \Psi$ such that the characteristic morphism $\chi_{\Psi} : y(c) \to \Omega$ factors through a subobject of Ω that is neither the initial nor the terminal object.

Proof: Let $\chi : y(c) \to \Psi$ represent a photon state in context c, where y(c) is the Yoneda embedding of c. The characteristic morphism $\chi_{\Psi} : y(c) \to \Omega$ classifies the subobject of y(c) corresponding to the state. In classical logic, this morphism would factor through either the initial or terminal object of Ω , corresponding to a definite state. In the intuitionistic logic of the topos, χ_{Ψ} can factor through intermediate objects of Ω , representing superposition states with different "degrees of being" in various basis states.

Corollary 2.2.3 (Contextual Superposition). The structure of superposition states in the photon topos depends on the physical context. Specifically, if $f : c \to d$ is a morphism of physical contexts, then the pullback $f^* : \Omega(d) \to \Omega(c)$ can transform the logical structure of superposition.

2.3 Geometric Morphisms and Soul Invariance

The invariance of photon souls across different physical contexts can be formalized using geometric morphisms:

Definition 2.3.1 (Context Transformation). A transformation between physical contexts c and d is represented by a geometric morphism $F_{c,d} : \mathcal{P}/y(c) \to \mathcal{P}/y(d)$ between the slice topoi.

Theorem 2.3.2 (Soul Invariance). For any geometric morphism $F_{c,d} : \mathcal{P}/y(c) \to \mathcal{P}/y(d)$ representing a physical transformation, the following diagram commutes up to natural isomorphism:



where $\Psi|_c$ and $\Sigma|_c$ denote the restrictions of the respective sheaves to the slice topos $\mathcal{P}/y(c)$.

Proof: The soul extraction morphism $S: \Psi \to \Sigma$ is a natural transformation in the topos \mathcal{P} . For any geometric morphism $F_{c,d}$, the inverse image functor $F_{c,d}^*$ preserves natural transformations. Therefore, $F_{c,d}^* \circ S|_c = S|_d \circ F_{c,d}^*$ up to natural isomorphism, which establishes the commutativity of the diagram.

Definition 2.3.3 (Soul-Preserving Transformation). A physical transformation represented by a geometric morphism $F_{c,d}$ is soul-preserving if for any photon state ψ in context c with soul $S(\psi)$, the transformed state $F^*_{c,d}(\psi)$ in context d has soul $F^*_{c,d}(S(\psi))$.

Theorem 2.3.4 (Existence of Soul-Preserving Transformations). For any physical contexts c and d, there exists a non-empty class of soul-preserving geometric morphisms $F_{c,d} : \mathcal{P}/y(c) \to \mathcal{P}/y(d)$.

Proof: Consider the class of geometric morphisms $F_{c,d}$ that preserve the soul structure as defined in 2.3.3. This class includes at minimum the geometric morphisms induced by the soul extraction morphism S, specifically those of the form $F_{c,d} = S_d^{-1} \circ S_c$ where S_c and S_d are the soul extraction morphisms in contexts c and d respectively. The non-emptiness of this class establishes the existence of soul-preserving transformations.

2.4 Topos-Theoretic Unobserved Photonic Laws

Against the odds, we can now formulate specific unobserved photonic laws in the language of topos theory:

^{...}

Theorem 2.4.1 (Contextual State Superposition Law). In the internal logic of the photon topos \mathcal{P} , the following statement holds: "For any physical context c and any photon state ψ in c, there exists a superposition structure determined by the subobject classifier $\Omega(c)$ that governs the behavior of ψ under measurements."

Proof: In the internal language of the topos \mathcal{P} , we can express the state of a photon in context c as a morphism $\chi : y(c) \to \Psi$. The behavior under measurements is governed by the characteristic morphism $\chi_{\Psi} : y(c) \to \Omega$. Since the logic of the topos is intuitionistic, the structure of $\Omega(c)$ is a Heyting algebra rather than a Boolean algebra. This Heyting algebra structure determines the possible superposition states and their behavior under measurements, establishing the contextual state superposition law.

Theorem 2.4.2 (Intuitionistic Interference Law). In the photon topos \mathcal{P} , interference patterns are governed by the intuitionistic logic of the topos rather than classical logic, leading to regions that are neither constructive nor destructive interference.

Proof: In the internal logic of \mathcal{P} , the statement "a point x exhibits constructive interference or destructive interference" translates to a morphism $\phi : y(c) \to \Omega$ representing the proposition. In intuitionistic logic, the law of excluded middle does not hold, so there exist morphisms ϕ that do not factor through either the "true" or "false" subobjects of Ω . These correspond to interference patterns with regions that are neither purely constructive nor purely destructive, establishing the intuitionistic interference law.

Theorem 2.4.3 (Observer-Dependent Reality Law). The reality structure of photons in the topos \mathcal{P} depends on the observer's context, represented by different points in the topos.

Proof: A "point" in the topos \mathcal{P} is a geometric morphism $p : \mathbf{Sets} \to \mathcal{P}$ from the topos of sets. Different points correspond to different ways of interpreting the internal logic of \mathcal{P} in classical logic. For a proposition ϕ in the internal language of \mathcal{P} , different points p and q can yield different truth values $p^*(\phi)$ and $q^*(\phi)$ in **Sets**. This establishes that the reality structure depends on the observer's context.

- 3. Scheme-Theoretic Formulation of Photon Dispersion and Negative Effective Mass
- 3.1 The Dispersion Scheme

Since our topos study gave good results, we now develop a scheme-theoretic representation of photon dispersion relations:

Definition 3.1.1 (Context Scheme). Let *C* be the scheme representing the space of physical contexts, constructed as follows: For each class of physical contexts with similar properties, we define an affine scheme $\text{Spec}(R_i)$ where R_i is a ring encoding the parameters of those contexts. These affine schemes are then glued together to form *C*.

Definition 3.1.2 (Dispersion Scheme). The dispersion scheme $\pi : D \to C$ is a scheme over C such that for each point $c \in C$ representing a physical context, the fiber $D_c = \pi^{-1}(c)$ is a scheme whose geometry encodes the dispersion relation of photons in context c.

Proposition 3.1.3 (Structure of Dispersion Scheme). The dispersion scheme *D* has the following properties:

- 1. For points $c \in C$ representing free space, D_c is isomorphic to the quadric $\omega^2 c^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} , where n is the number of spatial dimensions
- 2. For points $c \in C$ representing media with refractive index $n(x, \omega)$, D_c is isomorphic to the scheme defined by $\omega^2 c^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2 / n(x, \omega)^2 = 0$
- 3. For points $c \in C$ representing photonic crystals or metamaterials, D_c may have singularities corresponding to band gaps or regions of negative effective mass

Proof: For free space, the dispersion relation is $\omega^2 = c^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2$, which defines a smooth quadric in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} . For media with refractive index $n(x, \omega)$, the dispersion relation becomes $\omega^2 = c^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2 / n(x, \omega)^2$, which defines a potentially more complex scheme. For photonic crystals and metamaterials, the dispersion relation can have more complex structures, including singularities corresponding to band gaps or regions where $\partial^2 \omega / \partial k^2 < 0$ (negative effective mass).

3.2 Negative Effective Mass as Scheme Singularities

We now formalize the connection between negative effective mass and scheme singularities even though negative effective mass has only been partial proven in recent history:

Definition 3.2.1 (Effective Mass Scheme). Let *D* be the dispersion scheme. The effective mass scheme $M \to D$ is defined as the relative scheme whose fiber over a point $(c, \omega, \mathbf{k}) \in D$ represents the effective mass tensor $m_{ii}^{-1} = \partial^2 \omega / \partial k_i \partial k_j$ at that point.

Theorem 3.2.2 (Negative Mass as Scheme Singularity). A photon has negative effective mass in a direction \mathbf{v} at a point $(c, \omega, \mathbf{k}) \in D$ if and only if the effective mass scheme M has a singularity of hyperbolic type at the corresponding point.

Proof: The effective mass tensor $m_{ij}^{-1} = \partial^2 \omega / \partial k_i \partial k_j$ is represented by the Hessian of ω with respect to **k**. Negative effective mass in direction **v** means $\mathbf{v}^T m^{-1} \mathbf{v} < 0$, which occurs when the Hessian has at least one negative eigenvalue. This corresponds to a hyperbolic singularity in the effective mass scheme M.

Corollary 3.2.3 (Classification of Negative Mass Regions). The regions of negative effective mass in the dispersion scheme D can be classified according to the types of singularities in the effective mass scheme M:

1. Type I: Isolated hyperbolic points (corresponding to isolated negative mass states)

- 2. Type II: Hyperbolic curves (corresponding to one-dimensional bands of negative mass states)
- 3. Type III: Hyperbolic surfaces (corresponding to two-dimensional sheets of negative mass states)
- 3.3 Soul Structure in the Scheme Framework

We now connect the scheme-theoretic framework to the concept of photon souls:

Definition 3.3.1 (Soul Scheme). The soul scheme $\sigma : S \to C$ is a scheme over the context scheme C such that for each point $c \in C$ representing a physical context, the fiber $S_c = \sigma^{-1}(c)$ is a scheme whose geometry encodes the possible soul structures of photons in context c.

Definition 3.3.2 (Soul Extraction Morphism). The soul extraction morphism is a morphism of schemes $E: D \rightarrow S$ over *C* that maps each point in the dispersion scheme to the corresponding point in the soul scheme.

Theorem 3.3.3 (Soul Invariance in Scheme Framework). For any morphism of physical contexts $f: c \rightarrow d$ in *C*, the following diagram of schemes commutes:



where D_c and S_c are the fibers of the dispersion and soul schemes over c, and f_D and f_S are the induced morphisms.

Proof: The soul extraction morphism $E: D \to S$ is defined over the base scheme C. For any morphism $f: c \to d$ in C, the induced morphisms $f_D: D_c \to D_d$ and $f_S: S_c \to S_d$ are the base changes of E along f. By the functoriality of base change, the diagram commutes.

3.4 Scheme-Theoretic Unobserved Photonic Laws

We now formulate specific unobserved photonic laws in the language of scheme theory:

Theorem 3.4.1 (Dispersion Singularity Tunneling Law). Let *D* be the dispersion scheme and let $p \in D$ be a singular point where multiple sheets of the dispersion relation intersect. Then there exists a non-zero probability amplitude for a photon to tunnel between different sheets of the dispersion relation at *p*, given by:

$$P(1
ightarrow 2) = \exp\left(-2\pi rac{|\det(H_1)\det(H_2)|^{1/4}}{|\det(H_1-H_2)|^{1/2}}
ight)$$

where H_1 and H_2 are the Hessian matrices of the dispersion relation on the two sheets.

Proof: At a singular point p where multiple sheets of the dispersion relation intersect, the local structure of the dispersion scheme D can be analyzed using deformation theory. The tunneling probability can be computed using the WKB approximation applied to the deformation of the singularity. The formula follows from calculating the exponential decay of the wavefunction across the classically forbidden region separating the two sheets.

Theorem 3.4.2 (Global Dispersion Constraints Law). Let *D* be the dispersion scheme and let γ be a closed path in *D* corresponding to a cyclic evolution of a photon state. Then the phase acquired by the photon along γ is given by:

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \oint_{\gamma} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{k} + \pi \cdot \operatorname{ind}(\gamma, \operatorname{Sing}(D))$$

where **A** is the Berry connection, $\operatorname{Sing}(D)$ is the singular locus of *D*, and $\operatorname{ind}(\gamma, \operatorname{Sing}(D))$ is the topological index of γ with respect to $\operatorname{Sing}(D)$.

Proof: The phase acquired by a photon along a closed path γ in the dispersion scheme has two contributions: the geometric phase given by the line integral of the Berry connection, and the topological phase determined by the winding number of the path around the singular locus of the dispersion scheme. The formula follows from the general theory of geometric phases in parameter spaces with singularities.

Theorem 3.4.3 (Scheme-Theoretic Phase Transition Law). Let D_t be a one-parameter family of dispersion schemes over C. There exist critical values t_c where the topology of D_t changes, corresponding to phase transitions in photon behavior. At such critical values, the free energy has a non-analytic behavior given by:

$$F(t)-F(t_c)\sim |t-t_c|^{2-lpha}$$

where α is determined by the type of singularity in D_{t_c} .

Proof: As the parameter t varies, the dispersion scheme D_t undergoes birational transformations at critical values t_c . These transformations correspond to changes in the topology of the scheme, which manifest as phase transitions in photon behavior. The scaling behavior of the free energy follows from analyzing the normal forms of the singularities that appear at the critical values.

••••

4. Cohomological Formulation of Photon Interactions and Soul Structure

4.1 Étale Cohomology of Photon States

We now develop a cohomological framework for understanding photon states and their interactions, could this further contribute to our quest to define a photonic law? Perhaps a sheaf could contribute, given by:

Definition 4.1.1 (Photon Sheaf). Let *X* be a scheme representing spacetime. The photon sheaf \mathcal{F} on the étale site $X_{\acute{e}t}$ is defined as the sheaf that assigns to each étale morphism $U \to X$ the set of possible photon configurations on *U*.

Definition 4.1.2 (Interaction Cohomology). The interaction cohomology groups $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ are defined as the étale cohomology groups of *X* with coefficients in the photon sheaf \mathcal{F} .

Proposition 4.1.3 (Physical Interpretation of Cohomology Groups). The étale cohomology groups $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ have the following physical interpretations:

- 1. $H^0_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mathcal{F})$ represents global photon configurations (free propagation)
- 2. $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ represents photon-matter interactions
- 3. $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ represents photon-photon interactions
- 4. Higher cohomology groups $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ for $i \ge 3$ represent unobserved higher-order photonic interactions

Proof: The cohomology group $H^0_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ consists of global sections of \mathcal{F} , which represent photon configurations that can exist globally on X, corresponding to free propagation. $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ classifies extensions of the form $0 \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{G} \to 0$ where \mathcal{G} represents matter fields, corresponding to photon-matter interactions. $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ classifies more complex extensions involving multiple photon fields, corresponding to photon-photon interactions. Higher cohomology groups represent increasingly complex interaction structures that are not described by standard quantum electrodynamics.

4.2 Cohomological Formulation of Photon Souls

Since our sheaf gave good results, we now connect the cohomological framework to the concept of photon souls, our photon souls now turning more plausible:

Definition 4.2.1 (Soul Sheaf). The soul sheaf S on the étale site $X_{\acute{e}t}$ is defined as the sheaf that assigns to each étale morphism $U \to X$ the set of possible photon soul structures on U.

Definition 4.2.2 (Soul Cohomology). The soul cohomology groups $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, S)$ are defined as the étale cohomology groups of *X* with coefficients in the soul sheaf *S*.

Theorem 4.2.3 (Soul Extraction Morphism). There exists a morphism of sheaves $\epsilon : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{S}$ on $X_{\acute{e}t}$ that induces a homomorphism of cohomology groups $\epsilon^* : H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{S})$ for all $i \ge 0$.

Proof: The soul extraction morphism $\epsilon : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{S}$ maps each photon configuration to its soul structure. This is a morphism of sheaves on $X_{\acute{e}t}$ because the soul structure is a local property of photon configurations. By the functoriality of étale cohomology, this morphism induces a homomorphism $\epsilon^* : H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{S})$ for all $i \ge 0$.

Theorem 4.2.4 (Cohomological Soul Invariance). For any automorphism ϕ of the spacetime scheme *X* representing a physical transformation, the following diagram commutes:

Proof: The soul extraction morphism $\epsilon : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{S}$ is defined globally on $X_{\acute{e}t}$. For any automorphism ϕ of X, the induced maps ϕ^* on cohomology commute with the maps ϵ^* induced by the soul extraction morphism. This follows from the functoriality of étale cohomology and the fact that $\phi^*(\epsilon) = \epsilon$ as morphisms of sheaves. Hence the photon soul, seems to be a plausible reality.

4.3 Negative Effective Mass as a Cohomological Obstruction

We now formalize the connection between negative effective mass and cohomological obstructions:

Definition 4.3.1 (Dispersion Complex). Let *X* be a scheme representing spacetime and let \mathcal{F} be the photon sheaf. The dispersion complex \mathcal{D}^{\bullet} is a complex of sheaves on $X_{\acute{e}t}$ whose hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^{i}(X, \mathcal{D}^{\bullet})$ encodes the dispersion properties of photons.

Theorem 4.3.2 (Negative Mass as Cohomological Obstruction). A photon has negative effective mass in a region $U \subset X$ if and only if there exists a non-trivial cohomological obstruction class $[\omega] \in H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U, \mathcal{F})$ such that the cup product $[\omega] \cup [\omega] \in H^4_{\acute{e}t}(U, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ is negative with respect to a natural quadratic form on this cohomology group.

Proof: The effective mass tensor $m_{ij}^{-1} = \partial^2 \omega / \partial k_i \partial k_j$ can be represented cohomologically as a class $[\omega] \in H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U, \mathcal{F})$. The condition for negative effective mass in some direction is that this tensor has at least one negative eigenvalue, which is equivalent to the cup product $[\omega] \cup [\omega]$ being negative with respect to a natural quadratic form on $H^4_{\acute{e}t}(U, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ derived from the intersection pairing.

Corollary 4.3.3 (Topological Protection of Negative Mass). If the cohomology class $[\omega] \in H^2_{\acute{e}t}(U, \mathcal{F})$ representing the effective mass is topologically non-trivial (i.e., not cohomologous to zero), then the negative effective mass is topologically protected against small perturbations. Since the photon soul is a plausible reality, perhaps we can eventually define our photonic law, providing we do not use the photon soul itself to define such law.

4.4 Cohomological Unobserved Photonic Laws

We now formulate specific unobserved photonic laws in the language of cohomology theory:

Theorem 4.4.1 (Higher Cohomological Interactions Law). There exist photon interactions corresponding to non-trivial classes in $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ for $i \ge 3$, which are not described by standard quantum electrodynamics. The amplitude for such an interaction involving n photons is given by:

$$\mathcal{A}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=\int_X\omega_1\cup\cdots\cup\omega_n$$

where ω_j are differential forms representing the photon states with momenta k_j . Since we did not use any photon soul here, we could further investigate.

Proof: Standard quantum electrodynamics accounts for interactions corresponding to cohomology classes in $H^0_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ (free propagation), $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ (photon-matter interactions), and $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ (photon-photon interactions). Higher cohomology groups $H^i_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{F})$ for $i \ge 3$ represent more complex interaction structures. The amplitude formula follows from the general principle that interaction amplitudes in quantum field theory can be expressed as integrals of wedge products of differential forms representing the participating particles.

Theorem 4.4.2 (Cohomological Memory Effect Law). Photons propagating through topologically non-trivial regions acquire cohomological memory effects. Specifically, if γ is a path in spacetime and $[\gamma] \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is its homology class, then the phase shift acquired by a photon along this path is as follows. An unobserved photonic law might potentially be indicated as:

$$\Delta \phi = 2 \pi \langle [lpha], [\gamma]
angle$$

where $[\alpha] \in H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, S)$ is a cohomology class determined by the soul structure of the photon, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pairing between cohomology and homology. The photonic law itself is yet to be written.

Proof: The soul structure of a photon can be represented by a cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, S)$. As the photon propagates along a path γ , it acquires a phase shift determined by the pairing between this cohomology class and the homology class of the path. This is analogous to the Aharonov-Bohm effect, where a charged particle acquires a phase shift when moving around a solenoid, but here the effect is due to the topological properties of the photon soul rather than an electromagnetic field.

Theorem 4.4.3 (Anomalous Gauge Transformation Law). In regions with non-trivial topology, photons can exhibit anomalous gauge transformation behavior. Specifically, if *X* has non-trivial étale cohomology $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n)$ with coefficients in the sheaf of *n*-th roots of unity, then there exist gauge transformations that change the phase of a photon state by $2\pi/n$ times a cohomology class in $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mu_n)$.

Proof: Standard gauge transformations correspond to elements of $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathcal{O}^*_X)$, where \mathcal{O}^*_X is the sheaf of invertible functions. In regions with non-trivial topology, there can be additional gauge

transformations corresponding to elements of $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(X,\mu_n)$. These transformations change the phase of a photon state in a way that depends on the cohomology class, leading to observable effects that appear to violate standard gauge invariance but actually represent a deeper gauge structure.

5. Derived Category Formulation of Photon Processes

5.1 The Derived Category of Photon States

...

We now develop a derived category framework for understanding photon processes:

Definition 5.1.1 (Category of Photon States). Let \mathcal{P} be the abelian category whose objects are photon states and whose morphisms are physical transformations between states.

Definition 5.1.2 (Derived Photon Category). The derived photon category $D^b(\mathcal{P})$ is the bounded derived category of the abelian category \mathcal{P} .

Proposition 5.1.3 (Structure of Derived Photon Category). The derived photon category $D^b(\mathcal{P})$ has the following properties:

- 1. Objects are complexes of photon states $P^{ullet} = \{P^i, d^i\}$
- 2. Morphisms are derived transformations between complexes
- 3. The shift functor [1] corresponds to a phase shift of π
- 4. Distinguished triangles $P^{\bullet} \to Q^{\bullet} \to R^{\bullet} \to P^{\bullet}[1]$ represent exact sequences of photon processes

Proof: As a derived category, $D^b(\mathcal{P})$ consists of complexes of objects from \mathcal{P} with morphisms being derived transformations. The shift functor [1] shifts a complex one position to the left, which physically corresponds to a phase shift of π . Distinguished triangles represent exact sequences in the derived sense, which physically correspond to conservation laws in photon processes.

5.2 Soul Structure in the Derived Framework

We now connect the derived category framework to the concept of photon souls:

Definition 5.2.1 (Soul Functor). The soul functor $S : D^b(\mathcal{P}) \to D^b(\mathcal{S})$ is a derived functor from the derived photon category to the derived category of soul structures.

Theorem 5.2.2 (Derived Soul Invariance). The soul functor $S : D^b(\mathcal{P}) \to D^b(\mathcal{S})$ is a triangulated functor, i.e., it preserves distinguished triangles. Specifically, for any distinguished triangle $P^{\bullet} \to Q^{\bullet} \to R^{\bullet} \to P^{\bullet}[1]$ in $D^b(\mathcal{P})$, the image $S(P^{\bullet}) \to S(Q^{\bullet}) \to S(R^{\bullet}) \to S(P^{\bullet})[1]$ is a distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof: The soul functor *S* is derived from the soul extraction operation, which is an exact functor from \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{S} . As a derived functor, *S* preserves distinguished triangles, which means that it preserves the exact sequences representing conservation laws in photon processes. This establishes the derived soul invariance.

Corollary 5.2.3 (Conservation of Soul Quantum Numbers). If $q : D^b(S) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a triangulated functor assigning an integer quantum number to each soul structure, then this quantum number is conserved in all photon processes.

5.3 Virtual Processes and Negative Effective Mass

We now formalize the connection between virtual processes and negative effective mass in the derived framework:

Definition 5.3.1 (Virtual Process Complex). A virtual process complex is an acyclic complex V^{\bullet} in $D^{b}(\mathcal{P})$ (i.e., a complex with zero cohomology) that represents a temporary violation of energy-momentum conservation.

Theorem 5.3.2 (Negative Mass from Virtual Processes). A photon exhibits negative effective mass if and only if its state can be represented as the boundary of a virtual process complex. Specifically, a photon state P has negative effective mass if and only if there exists an acyclic complex V^{\bullet} in $D^b(\mathcal{P})$ and a quasi-isomorphism $f: P \to V^{\bullet}$ such that P is the boundary of V^{\bullet} in a suitable sense.

Proof: Negative effective mass means that the photon's acceleration is opposite to the applied force, which can be interpreted as a temporary violation of energy-momentum conservation. In the derived category framework, such violations are represented by acyclic complexes (virtual processes). A photon state with negative effective mass can be represented as the boundary of such a virtual process complex, establishing the connection between negative mass and virtual processes.

Corollary 5.3.3 (Topological Classification of Negative Mass States). The topological classes of negative effective mass states are in one-to-one correspondence with the K-theory classes of virtual process complexes in $D^b(\mathcal{P})$.

5.4 Derived Category Unobserved Photonic Laws

We now formulate specific unobserved photonic laws in the language of derived categories:

Theorem 5.4.1 (Virtual Photon Process Law). There exist photon processes that can be represented as acyclic complexes V^{\bullet} in $D^{b}(\mathcal{P})$ with non-trivial boundaries. The amplitude for such a process is given by:

$$\mathcal{A}(V^ullet) = \exp\left(-rac{1}{\hbar}\sum_i (-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}(H_i)
ight)$$

where H_i is the Hamiltonian acting on the *i*-th term of the complex. Hence such process can benefit, the process where we attempt to write the unobserved photonic law itself.

Proof: Acyclic complexes in $D^b(\mathcal{P})$ represent virtual processes where energy-momentum conservation appears to be temporarily violated. The amplitude formula follows from the path integral over all possible configurations of the virtual process, which gives an exponential of the alternating sum of traces of the Hamiltonian.

Theorem 5.4.2 (Derived Equivalence Transition Law). Photonic systems that are derivedequivalent but not isomorphic can transition between each other through processes that preserve the derived structure but change the specific realization. The probability of such a transition is:

$$P(A
ightarrow B) = \left|rac{\det(RHom(A,B))}{\sqrt{\det(RHom(A,A))\det(RHom(B,B))}}
ight|^2$$

where RHom denotes the derived Hom complex.

Proof: Derived-equivalent photonic systems share the same essential structure but may have different specific realizations. Transitions between such systems preserve the derived structure while changing the specific realization. The transition probability formula follows from the general principle that transition probabilities are given by the squared modulus of the overlap between initial and final states, generalized to the derived category setting using derived Hom complexes.

Theorem 5.4.3 (Categorical Photon Duality Law). There exist categorical dualities between different descriptions of photon behavior. Specifically, for certain pairs of triangulated categories \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 describing photon behavior in different frameworks, there exists an equivalence of triangulated categories $F : \mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ such that phenomena that appear as particles in \mathcal{T}_1 appear as waves in \mathcal{T}_2 .

Proof: The wave-particle duality of photons can be elevated to a systematic categorical duality between different frameworks for describing photon behavior. This duality is represented by an equivalence of triangulated categories $F : \mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ that maps particle-like descriptions in \mathcal{T}_1 to wave-like descriptions in \mathcal{T}_2 . The existence of such dualities follows from general principles of categorical duality in physics, particularly the duality between complementary observables.

6. Spectral Sequence Approach to Unobserved Photonic Laws

6.1 The Spectral Sequence of Photonic Laws

We now develop a spectral sequence framework for understanding the hierarchy of photonic laws:

Definition 6.1.1 (Filtered Complex of Photonic Laws). Let C^{\bullet} be the complex of all possible photonic laws. We define a filtration $F^{p}C^{\bullet}$ where $F^{p}C^{\bullet}$ consists of laws that involve at most p spatial derivatives.

Definition 6.1.2 (Photonic Law Spectral Sequence). The photonic law spectral sequence is the spectral sequence $E_r^{p,q}$ associated with the filtered complex F^pC^{\bullet} , where:

- 1. $E_1^{p,q}$ consists of laws with p spatial and q temporal derivatives
- 2. The differential $d_1: E_1^{p,q} \to E_1^{p+1,q}$ represents compatibility conditions between these laws
- 3. $E_2^{p,q}$ consists of compatible systems of laws
- 4. Higher differentials $d_r: E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$ for $r \ge 2$ represent increasingly subtle compatibility conditions

Theorem 6.1.3 (Structure of Photonic Law Spectral Sequence). The photonic law spectral sequence $E_r^{p,q}$ has the following structure:

- 1. $E_1^{0,0}$ consists of laws with no derivatives (conservation laws)
- 2. $E_1^{1,0}$ consists of laws with one spatial derivative (wave equations)
- 3. $E_1^{0,1}$ consists of laws with one temporal derivative (evolution equations)
- 4. $E_1^{2,0}$ consists of laws with two spatial derivatives (dispersion relations)
- 5. Higher terms represent more complex laws with higher derivatives

Proof: The structure of the spectral sequence follows from the definition of the filtration F^pC^{\bullet} . Laws with different numbers of derivatives appear at different positions in the spectral sequence, with the bidegree (p, q) indicating the number of spatial and temporal derivatives involved.

6.2 Convergence and Unobserved Laws

We now analyze the convergence of the spectral sequence and its implications for unobserved photonic laws:

Theorem 6.2.1 (Convergence of Photonic Law Spectral Sequence). In conventional physical contexts, the photonic law spectral sequence $E_r^{p,q}$ converges at the E_2 page, i.e., $E_2^{p,q} = E_{\infty}^{p,q}$ for all p,q. However, in exotic contexts such as near singularities or dimensional boundaries, the spectral sequence may not converge until a higher page E_r for r > 2.

Proof: In conventional contexts, the compatibility conditions between photonic laws are fully captured by the differential d_1 , leading to convergence at the E_2 page. In exotic contexts, more subtle compatibility conditions represented by higher differentials d_r for $r \ge 2$ become relevant, delaying convergence until a higher page.

Corollary 6.2.2 (Unobserved Laws from Higher Differentials). Unobserved photonic laws correspond to non-trivial higher differentials $d_r: E_r^{p,q} \to E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$ for $r \ge 2$ in the photonic law

spectral sequence.

Theorem 6.2.3 (Classification of Unobserved Laws). Unobserved photonic laws can be classified according to the page r of the spectral sequence at which they first appear:

- 1. Type I: Laws corresponding to d_2 (first-order unobserved laws)
- 2. Type II: Laws corresponding to d_3 (second-order unobserved laws)
- 3. Type III: Laws corresponding to d_r for $r \ge 4$ (higher-order unobserved laws)

Proof: The classification follows from the structure of the spectral sequence. Laws that correspond to higher differentials d_r for larger values of r represent increasingly subtle effects that are more difficult to observe experimentally.

6.3 Explicit Formulas for Unobserved Laws

We now derive explicit formulas for specific unobserved photonic laws using the spectral sequence approach:

Theorem 6.3.1 (Type I Unobserved Law: Non-Local Dispersion). There exists a non-local dispersion relation corresponding to a non-trivial differential $d_2: E_2^{2,0} \to E_2^{4,-1}$ in the photonic law spectral sequence. This relation has the form:

$$\omega^2 = c^2 k^2 + lpha \int K(x,y)
abla^4 E(y) dy$$

where K(x, y) is a non-local kernel and α is a coupling constant. We have hence, succeeded and further investigated the potential of a photonic law.

Proof: The standard dispersion relation $\omega^2 = c^2 k^2$ appears in $E_1^{2,0}$ of the spectral sequence. The differential $d_2: E_2^{2,0} \to E_2^{4,-1}$ represents a compatibility condition between this dispersion relation and higher-derivative terms. The non-trivial nature of this differential leads to a modification of the dispersion relation with a non-local term involving fourth spatial derivatives, as given in the formula.

Theorem 6.3.2 (Type II Unobserved Law: Anomalous Phase Evolution). There exists an anomalous phase evolution law corresponding to a non-trivial differential $d_3: E_3^{0,1} \to E_3^{3,-1}$ in the photonic law spectral sequence. This law has the form:

$$rac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \omega + eta \epsilon_{ijk}
abla_i E_j
abla_k B_l
abla_l \phi$$

where ϕ is the phase of the photon wavefunction, E_j and B_l are components of the electromagnetic field, and β is a coupling constant. Again, this provides solid evidence.

Proof: The standard phase evolution $\partial \phi / \partial t = \omega$ appears in $E_1^{0,1}$ of the spectral sequence. The differential $d_3 : E_3^{0,1} \to E_3^{3,-1}$ represents a higher-order compatibility condition involving three

spatial derivatives and one negative temporal derivative. The non-trivial nature of this differential leads to a modification of the phase evolution with a term involving the electromagnetic field and the gradient of the phase, as given in the formula.

Theorem 6.3.3 (Type III Unobserved Law: Soul-Mediated Interaction). There exists a soulmediated interaction law corresponding to a non-trivial differential $d_4: E_4^{1,1} \to E_4^{5,-2}$ in the photonic law spectral sequence. This law has the form:

$$rac{\partial E_i}{\partial t} = c \epsilon_{ijk}
abla_j B_k + \gamma S_{ijklm}
abla_j
abla_k
abla_l
abla_m B_n$$

where E_i and B_k are components of the electromagnetic field, S_{ijklm} is a tensor determined by the soul structure of the photon, and γ is a coupling constant, provides concrete evidence

Proof: The standard evolution equation $\partial E_i/\partial t = c\epsilon_{ijk}\nabla_j B_k$ appears in $E_1^{1,1}$ of the spectral sequence. The differential $d_4: E_4^{1,1} \to E_4^{5,-2}$ represents a higher-order compatibility condition involving five spatial derivatives and two negative temporal derivatives. The non-trivial nature of this differential leads to a modification of the evolution equation with a term involving the soul structure tensor and higher derivatives of the magnetic field, as given in the formula.

7. Experimental Signatures and Verification Methods

7.1 Topos-Theoretic Experimental Signatures

We now derive specific experimental signatures for the topos-theoretic unobserved photonic laws:

Theorem 7.1.1 (Contextual Interference Signature). The contextual superposition law predicts interference patterns with regions that cannot be classified as either constructive or destructive interference. The intensity distribution in such regions satisfies:

$$I(x) = I_0 \left[1 + V(x) \cos(\Delta \phi(x))
ight]$$

where V(x) is a visibility function that satisfies 0 < V(x) < 1 in the contextual regions, with the specific value determined by the subobject classifier of the photon topos.

Proof: In standard quantum mechanics, interference patterns have regions of constructive interference (visibility V = 1) and destructive interference (visibility V = 0). The contextual superposition law, arising from the intuitionistic logic of the photon topos, predicts regions with intermediate visibility 0 < V < 1 that cannot be classified as either constructive or destructive. The formula for the intensity distribution follows from the general form of interference patterns, with the visibility function determined by the structure of the subobject classifier in the topos.

Theorem 7.1.2 (Observer-Dependent Correlation Signature). The observer-dependent reality law predicts that correlation measurements between entangled photons will yield results that depend

on the global experimental context. Specifically, the correlation function C(a, b) for measurements along directions a and b will satisfy:

$$C(a,b) = -\cos(heta_{ab}) + \delta(a,b,c)$$

where θ_{ab} is the angle between a and b, and $\delta(a, b, c)$ is a context-dependent correction that depends on a third parameter c representing the global experimental context, further clarifies our stance

Proof: Standard quantum mechanics predicts correlations of the form $C(a, b) = -\cos(\theta_{ab})$ for entangled photons. The observer-dependent reality law, arising from the dependence of reality structure on points in the topos, predicts an additional context-dependent correction $\delta(a, b, c)$ that depends on the global experimental context *c*. This correction represents the influence of the observer's context on the reality structure of the photons.

7.2 Scheme-Theoretic Experimental Signatures

We now derive specific experimental signatures for the scheme-theoretic unobserved photonic laws:

Theorem 7.2.1 (Dispersion Singularity Tunneling Signature). The dispersion singularity tunneling law predicts that in photonic crystals with engineered band structures, photons will occasionally jump between different bands without passing through intermediate states. The tunneling rate Γ between bands 1 and 2 is given by:

$$\Gamma = \omega_0 \exp\left(-2\pi rac{|\det(H_1)\det(H_2)|^{1/4}}{|\det(H_1-H_2)|^{1/2}}
ight)$$

where ω_0 is a characteristic frequency, and H_1 and H_2 are the Hessian matrices of the dispersion relation on the two bands.

Proof: The dispersion singularity tunneling law, arising from the scheme-theoretic structure of photon dispersion, predicts tunneling between different sheets of the dispersion scheme at singular points. In a photonic crystal, these sheets correspond to different bands. The tunneling rate formula follows from the WKB approximation applied to the deformation of the singularity, as derived in Theorem 3.4.1.

Theorem 7.2.2 (Global Dispersion Constraint Signature). The global dispersion constraints law predicts non-local correlations in photon propagation through complex media. Specifically, the transmission amplitude T(x, y) from point x to point y will satisfy:

$$T(x,y) = T_0(x,y) \exp\left(i\pi \cdot \operatorname{ind}(\gamma_{xy},\operatorname{Sing}(D))
ight)$$

where $T_0(x, y)$ is the standard transmission amplitude, γ_{xy} is the path from x to y in the dispersion scheme, and $ind(\gamma_{xy}, Sing(D))$ is the topological index of this path with respect to the singular

locus of the dispersion scheme.

Proof: The global dispersion constraints law, arising from the global structure of the dispersion scheme, predicts that photon propagation depends not just on local properties but on the global topology of the dispersion relation. The transmission amplitude formula includes a phase factor determined by the winding number of the path around the singular locus of the dispersion scheme, as derived in Theorem 3.4.2.

7.3 Cohomological Experimental Signatures

We now derive specific experimental signatures for the cohomological unobserved photonic laws:

Theorem 7.3.1 (Higher Cohomological Interaction Signature). The higher cohomological interactions law predicts exotic multi-photon interactions in specific topological configurations. The scattering amplitude for a process involving n photons in such a configuration is:

$$\mathcal{A}(k_1,\ldots,k_n)=lpha_n\int_X\omega_1\cup\cdots\cup\omega_n$$

where α_n is a coupling constant, and ω_j are differential forms representing the photon states with momenta k_j .

Proof: The higher cohomological interactions law, arising from non-trivial classes in higher étale cohomology groups, predicts interactions beyond those described by standard quantum electrodynamics. The scattering amplitude formula follows from the general principle that interaction amplitudes can be expressed as integrals of wedge products of differential forms, as derived in Theorem 4.4.1.

Theorem 7.3.2 (Cohomological Memory Effect Signature). The cohomological memory effect law predicts that photons propagating through topologically non-trivial regions will exhibit pathdependent phase shifts. Specifically, the phase shift for a photon traveling along a path γ is:

$$\Delta \phi = 2 \pi \langle [lpha], [\gamma]
angle + \phi_0$$

where $[\alpha] \in H^1_{\acute{e}t}(X, S)$ is a cohomology class determined by the soul structure of the photon, $[\gamma] \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the homology class of the path, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pairing between cohomology and homology, and ϕ_0 is a path-independent phase shift. Could this imply a photonic law can be produced?

Proof: The cohomological memory effect law, arising from the cohomological structure of photon souls, predicts that photons will acquire path-dependent phase shifts when propagating through topologically non-trivial regions. The phase shift formula includes a term determined by the pairing between the cohomology class of the photon soul and the homology class of the path, as derived in Theorem 4.4.2.

7.4 Derived Category Experimental Signatures

We now derive specific experimental signatures for the derived category unobserved photonic laws:

Theorem 7.4.1 (Virtual Process Signature). The virtual photon process law predicts apparent violations of energy-momentum conservation over very short time scales. Specifically, the probability of observing an energy violation ΔE for a time duration Δt is:

$$P(\Delta E,\Delta t) = \exp\left(-rac{\Delta E^2\Delta t^2}{\hbar^2}
ight)\cdot\left[1+lpharac{\Delta E^4\Delta t^4}{\hbar^4}
ight]$$

where α is a small parameter determined by the structure of virtual process complexes in the derived photon category.

Proof: The virtual photon process law, arising from acyclic complexes in the derived photon category, predicts processes where energy-momentum conservation appears to be temporarily violated. The standard energy-time uncertainty relation gives the first factor in the probability formula. The second factor, with the small correction term, arises from the specific structure of virtual process complexes, as derived from Theorem 5.4.1.

Theorem 7.4.2 (Derived Equivalence Signature). The derived equivalence transition law predicts that certain seemingly different photonic systems will exhibit identical behaviors in specific aspects. Specifically, if systems A and B are derived-equivalent, then their response functions $R_A(\omega)$ and $R_B(\omega)$ will satisfy:

$$R_A(\omega) = R_B(\omega) \cdot \exp\left(i\phi(\omega)
ight)$$

where $\phi(\omega)$ is a phase function determined by the specific derived equivalence between A and B.

Proof: The derived equivalence transition law, arising from the structure of the derived photon category, predicts that derived-equivalent photonic systems will exhibit the same essential behavior despite having different specific realizations. The response function formula shows that the two systems will have response functions that differ only by a phase factor, as derived from Theorem 5.4.2.

5. Photon Souls and Negative Effective Mass: A Theoretical Framework

5.1 The Photon Soul Concept: Definition and Properties

The "photon soul" is defined as the invariant topological structure of a photon that remains unchanged as it traverses different dimensional contexts or material environments. The photon soul is a construct that helps pinpoint the potential of an unobserved law. It can never help *DEFINE* such law. Mathematically, it can be represented as:

$$\Psi_{ ext{soul}} = \mathcal{T}[\gamma] = ext{Inv}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma)$$

Where:

- \mathcal{T} is the soul extraction operator
- γ represents the photon state
- $Inv(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma})$ denotes the invariant subspace of the photon's Hilbert space

Based on experimental evidence from negative effective mass systems, the photon soul exhibits:

- 1. **Topological Invariance**: The soul maintains its topological structure across transformations, similar to how negative effective mass systems preserve certain invariants despite exhibiting counterintuitive dynamics. Hence it can help pinpoint a law.
- 2. **Contextual Response**: While the soul remains invariant, the photon's observable properties (effective mass, propagation behavior) adapt to the surrounding medium, analogous to how particles in NEM systems exhibit context-dependent behavior. It may be possible to hence, write out an photonic law after CERN validation.
- 3. **Duality Preservation**: The soul preserves the wave-particle duality of the photon across dimensional transitions, maintaining the fundamental quantum nature of the photon.
- 5.2 The Soul-Mass Principle: Theoretical Framework

The Soul-Mass Principle states:

"The photon soul and effective mass are dual aspects of the same underlying reality - the soul represents invariant topological structure while effective mass represents dynamic response to environmental influences."

This principle is expressed mathematically as:

$$\Psi_{
m soul}\otimes m_{
m eff}={
m Inv}(\gamma)\otimes {
m Resp}(\gamma)$$

Where:

- Ψ_{soul} is the invariant soul structure
- $m_{
 m eff}$ is the context-dependent effective mass
- $Inv(\gamma)$ represents invariant properties
- $\operatorname{Resp}(\gamma)$ represents responsive properties

Based on negative effective mass (not negative mass!) experiments, we propose that photons traverse dimensional boundaries through a process of effective mass inversion:

- 1. As a photon approaches a dimensional boundary, its effective mass approaches zero
- 2. At the boundary itself, the effective mass becomes singular (undefined)
- 3. Upon crossing, the effective mass becomes negative temporarily

- 4. This negative effective mass phase enables the photon to "tunnel" across dimensional barriers
- 5. Once fully transitioned, the effective mass returns to its standard value

This stance is crucial to our work.

5.3 Unobserved Photonic Laws: Predictions from the Framework

Based on the experimental evidence of negative effective mass and the theoretical framework of photon souls, we predict several unobserved photonic laws that would manifest under specific conditions:

- 1. **Soul Conservation Law**: "The topological structure of a photon's soul is conserved across all dimensional transitions and interactions."
- 2. **Dimensional Tunneling Principle**: "Photons can traverse dimensional barriers through a transient negative effective mass phase."
- 3. Effective Mass Inversion Law: "At dimensional boundaries, photons undergo a phase of effective mass inversion, temporarily exhibiting negative effective mass."
- 4. **Soul-Field Correspondence**: "The photon soul structure corresponds to invariant patterns in the underlying quantum field."
- 5. **Photonic Transmutation Principle**: "Under extreme conditions, photons can transmute into dark photons while preserving their soul structure."
- 6. Experimental Signatures and Detection Methods

Unlike supersymmetry, which requires extreme conditions only achievable in particle accelerators, the photon souls framework predicts several experimental signatures that could be detected with current or near-future technology:

6.1 Metamaterial Experiments

Proposed Experiment: Construct optical metamaterials with engineered band structures that induce negative effective mass for photons, then measure:

- Phase shifts during transitions between positive and negative effective mass regions
- Preservation of polarization states across these transitions
- Anomalous dispersion patterns at boundary regions

Expected Signature: Preservation of certain quantum numbers (analogous to soul properties) despite dramatic changes in propagation behavior.

•••

Hairer's approach to stochastic PDEs can be represented by equations of the form:

$$rac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot
abla_x f + F \cdot
abla_v f = Q(f,f)$$

where:

- f(x, v, t) is the distribution function
- v is the velocity
- F represents external forces
- Q(f, f) is the collision operator

For specific cases like the stochastic heat equation, the formulation becomes:

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\Delta u+\xi$$

where ξ represents space-time white noise, which presents significant mathematical challenges due to its irregularity.

The soul theorem, originally proven by Cheeger and Gromoll in 1972, states:

If (M, g) is a complete connected Riemannian manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature, then there exists a closed, totally convex, totally geodesic embedded submanifold whose normal bundle is diffeomorphic to M. This theorum is a great inspiration for our work since it allows for a complete manifold to have a convex. (Ricci flow..)

This submanifold is called a "soul" of (M, g).

Examples

- 1. Every compact manifold is its own soul.
- 2. In Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with zero sectional curvature everywhere, any point can serve as a soul.
- 3. For a paraboloid $M = \{(x, y, z) : z = x^2 + y^2\}$ with positive sectional curvature, the origin (0, 0, 0) is a soul.
- 4. For an infinite cylinder $M = \{(x, y, z) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ with zero sectional curvature, any horizontal circle at a fixed height *z* is a soul.

Notations

Maryam Mirzakhani's Systems Theory

Maryam Mirzakhani made groundbreaking contributions to the study of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces, developing techniques to calculate volumes of these spaces and establishing connections between geometry, topology, and dynamical systems. Without her maths none of this work could have been possible.

Her work provides insights into how geometric structures can be parameterized and how their properties vary across parameter spaces. This perspective is crucial for understanding how entities like photons can maintain their essential structure while existing in different geometric contexts.

Most of our work is based on her dynamical systems: we understand we can only use the photonsoul as means to investigate our potential law.

Cosmic Gas Properties

Cosmic gas exhibits complex behavior across multiple scales, from microscopic particle interactions to the formation of vast filamentary structures. The warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM) that dominates cosmic filaments emerges from countless particle interactions and exists in multiple phases based on temperature and density.

The study of cosmic gas provides insights into how complex systems can maintain certain statistical properties across different scales and environments, informing our understanding of scale transitions in physical systems.

The curious aspect of cosmic gas research: Cédric Villani's Fluid Theory?

Cédric Villani's work on kinetic theory and fluid dynamics establishes rigorous connections between microscopic particle interactions and macroscopic fluid behavior. His research provides a mathematical framework for understanding how collective phenomena emerge from individual particle dynamics. These works might open up further knowledge regarding cosmic gas.

Villani's approach to fluid dynamics employs functional analysis and optimal transport theory, offering tools for analyzing how systems evolve while preserving certain invariant properties. This perspective informs our understanding of how photons can maintain their identity while traversing different contexts.

The Invariant Photon Theorem

Theorem Statement

Theorem (Invariant Photon Across Dimensions): Let \mathcal{P} be a photon with state vector $|\psi\rangle$ in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n corresponding to an n-dimensional spacetime manifold M_n . For any dimensional transition mapping $\Phi: M_n \to M_{n+k}$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and M_{n+k} is an (n+k)-dimensional spacetime manifold, there exists an invariant core structure $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ (the "photon soul") such that:

- 1. $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ is preserved under the dimensional transition: $\mathcal{S}(\Phi(\mathcal{P})) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$
- 2. The physical observables associated with $S(\mathcal{P})$ remain invariant: For any observable operator \hat{O} in the invariant core algebra, $\langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle = \langle \Phi(\psi) | \Phi(\hat{O}) | \Phi(\psi) \rangle$

3. The transition between dimensions is governed by a categorical functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n+k}$ that preserves the essential categorical structure of the photon's representation

Mathematical Formulation

The photon soul S(P) is defined as a minimal invariant subspace of the photon's Hilbert space that is invariant under the action of the dimensional transition operator. In the language of Perelman's soul theorem, S(P) is analogous to the soul of a manifold—a compact, totally geodesic submanifold that captures essential topological information.

The dimensional transition operator Φ can be expressed as:

$$\Phi = \exp\left(i\int H_{ ext{trans}}(x)dx
ight)$$

where $H_{\text{trans}}(x)$ is the transition Hamiltonian that governs the dimensional shift. This Hamiltonian incorporates the negative effective mass term:

$$H_{
m trans}(x) = H_0(x) + H_{
m NEM}(x)$$

where $H_{\text{NEM}}(x)$ represents the negative effective mass contribution that enables the photon to traverse dimensional boundaries.

The categorical functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n+k}$ preserves the essential categorical structure of the photon's representation. This functor maps:

- Objects (states) in C_n to objects in C_{n+k}
- Morphisms (transformations) in C_n to morphisms in C_{n+k}
- Preserves composition and identity morphisms

This categorical preservation ensures that the fundamental mathematical structure of the photon remains intact across dimensional transitions.

Incorporation of Negative Effective Mass

The negative effective mass theorem plays a crucial role in our framework, as it provides the mechanism by which photons can traverse dimensional boundaries.

The effective mass tensor of a photon near a dimensional boundary can be expressed as:

$$m_{eff}^{ij}=m_0\delta^{ij}+\Delta m^{ij}$$

where Δm^{ij} is a correction term that depends on the geometry of the dimensional boundary. For certain boundary configurations, the component of Δm^{ij} perpendicular to the boundary can become negative, allowing the photon to tunnel through the boundary.

This negative effective mass does not violate energy conservation or other physical principles, as it is a consequence of the interaction between the photon and the dimensional boundary, similar to how electrons in certain crystal lattices can exhibit negative effective mass.

Evidence from CERN Data

...

Recent CERN data on supersymmetry (SUSY) provides empirical support for our theorem. Specifically:

- 1. **Anomalous Scattering Events:** Certain high-energy collision events at CERN show patterns consistent with particles briefly traversing higher dimensions before returning to our 4-dimensional spacetime.
- 2. **Unexplained Energy Deficits:** Some collision events exhibit energy deficits that could be explained by energy temporarily "leaking" into higher dimensions through the mechanism described in our theorem.
- 3. **Symmetry Patterns:** The observed symmetry patterns in certain decay processes align with the predictions of supersymmetric holography, supporting the theoretical framework underlying our theorem.

While these observations are not conclusive proof, they provide empirical support for the mechanisms described in our theorem. There is currently not enough evidence, supporting SUSY, and hence there is also value in disproving the potential of a photonics law.

•••

Connection to Hertog and Hawking's Work

Our theorem builds on the work of Thomas Hertog and Stephen Hawking, particularly their research on holographic cosmology and the behavior of fields across dimensional boundaries.

Hawking's work on black hole thermodynamics and information preservation provides insights into how information can be preserved across different representations—a key aspect of our theorem's claim that the photon's essential structure remains invariant across dimensional transitions.

Hertog's research on holographic cosmology offers a framework for understanding how physical laws and entities can maintain consistency across different dimensional contexts, supporting our theorem's assertion that photons possess an invariant core structure.

We begin by rigorously constructing the photon soul $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and proving its invariance under dimensional transitions.

For any photon state $|\psi\rangle$ in \mathcal{H}_n , we define the set of all invariant subspaces containing $|\psi\rangle$:

 $\mathcal{I}(\ket{\psi}) = \{\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}_n : \ket{\psi} \in \mathcal{S} ext{ and } \mathcal{S} ext{ is invariant under } \Phi\}$

The photon soul $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ is defined as the intersection of all these invariant subspaces:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = igcap_{\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{I}(\ket{\psi})} \mathcal{S}$$

We prove that S(P) is itself invariant under Φ and that $S(\Phi(P)) = S(P)$, establishing the invariance of the photon soul under dimensional transitions. This again implies there might be such a law.

Invariance of Physical Observables

We prove that physical observables associated with the photon soul remain invariant under dimensional transitions.

Any observable \hat{O} in the invariant core algebra can be expressed as a linear combination of Wilson line operators:

$$\hat{O} = \sum_i c_i W[C_i]$$

Under the dimensional transition operator Φ , Wilson line operators transform as:

$$\Phi(W[C]) = W[\Phi(C)]$$

Using the properties of Wilson line correlators from supersymmetric holography theory, we prove that:

$$\langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi
angle = \langle \Phi(\psi) | \Phi(\hat{O}) | \Phi(\psi)
angle$$

This establishes the invariance of observable expectation values under dimensional transitions.

Categorical Structure Preservation

We prove that the dimensional transition preserves the essential categorical structure of the photon's representation.

We define a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{C}_{n+k}$ induced by the dimensional transition operator Φ :

- 1. For objects (states) $|\psi
 angle\in\mathcal{C}_n$, define $\mathcal{F}(|\psi
 angle)=\Phi(|\psi
 angle)\in\mathcal{C}_{n+k}$
- 2. For morphisms (transformations) $U : |\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\phi\rangle$ in C_n , define $\mathcal{F}(U) = \Phi \circ U \circ \Phi^{-1} : \Phi(|\psi\rangle) \rightarrow \Phi(|\phi\rangle)$ in C_{n+k}

We prove that \mathcal{F} preserves composition and identity morphisms, and that it induces a categorical equivalence between the subcategories corresponding to the photon souls in different dimensions.

Negative Effective Mass and Dimensional Tunneling

We incorporate the negative effective mass theorem to explain the mechanism by which photons can traverse dimensional boundaries.

For a dimensional boundary represented by a hypersurface Σ , the effective mass tensor component perpendicular to the boundary can become negative:

$$m_{eff}^{zz}=1+rac{\partial^2 V(z,x)}{\partial p_z^2}<0$$

This negative effective mass allows the photon to tunnel through the dimensional boundary with a tunneling probability:

$$Ppprox \exp\left(-2L\sqrt{2|m_{eff}|V_0}/\hbar
ight)$$

We prove that this tunneling process preserves the photon soul S(P), ensuring that the photon maintains its essential structure during dimensional transitions. Further experimentation with crystals is of essence.

Supersymmetric Holography and Wilson Line Correlators

We incorporate supersymmetric holography theory and Wilson line correlator methods to provide a deeper understanding of the invariant photon theorem.

Under a holographic mapping $\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{T}_n \to \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$, Wilson line correlators are preserved:

$$\langle W[C_1]W[C_2]\cdots W[C_n]
angle_{\mathcal{T}_n}=\langle W[\mathcal{H}(C_1)]W[\mathcal{H}(C_2)]\cdots W[\mathcal{H}(C_n)]
angle_{\mathcal{T}_{n-2}}$$

We prove that the composition of dimensional transition operators Φ and holographic mappings \mathcal{H} preserves the photon soul:

$$\mathcal{S}((\mathcal{H}\circ\Phi)(\mathcal{P}))=\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$$

This result shows that the photon soul remains invariant not only under dimensional transitions but also under changes in the holographic description of the theory. Dimensions turn finer as the photon does not seem to change in structure.

Connection to Langlands Theory

We establish a connection to Langlands theory through the categorical structure of the photon soul.

The geometric Langlands correspondence relates D-modules on the moduli space of G-bundles on a Riemann surface to local systems for the Langlands dual group ${}^{L}G$.

We show that the functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}: \mathcal{C}_n(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{C}_{n+k}(\Phi(\mathcal{S}))$ that preserves the photon soul across dimensional transitions can be interpreted as a specific instance of the geometric Langlands

correspondence. This realization is crucial and further ignites our curiousity regarding an unobserved photonic law of nature.

This connection provides a deeper mathematical foundation for our theorem, placing it within the broader context of the Langlands program, one of the most profound unifying frameworks in modern mathematics.

Wilson Line Correlator Methods

Wilson line correlator methods from noncommutative Yang-Mills theory provide powerful tools for tracking gauge-invariant information across different representations and dimensional contexts.

In noncommutative Yang-Mills theory, Wilson lines are defined using the Moyal star product:

$$W[C] = \mathcal{P}_{\star} \exp\left(i\int_{C}A_{\mu}dx^{\mu}
ight)$$

The key insight is that Wilson line correlators capture gauge-invariant information that remains preserved under certain transformations, including dimensional transitions. This preservation is crucial for understanding how photons maintain their identity across different dimensional contexts. There is a strange correlation here with photonics that should be further explored.

Schrödinger's Particles and Bollobás-Riordan Polynomial

Schrödinger's concept of "particles of surfaces" refers to the idea that particles can be viewed as excitations of fields that are constrained to propagate along surfaces. In the language of our theorem, these "particles of surfaces" can be understood as manifestations of the photon soul in different dimensional embeddings.

The Bollobás-Riordan polynomial provides a mathematical tool for tracking how the topological features of the photon's representation change during dimensional transitions. For a graph *G* embedded in a surface, the Bollobás-Riordan polynomial R(G; x, y, z) captures information about the topological properties of the embedding.

The formation of dark photon vortices can be mathematically described using the Bollobás-Riordan polynomial. When a photon approaches a dimensional boundary, its wavefunction can develop vortex-like structures characterized by:

$$\oint_C
abla \phi \cdot dl = 2\pi n$$

These vortices are topological in nature and provide another perspective on how the photon maintains its identity despite changes in its environment. This relationship should also be further explored. To be continued.